

## **AMENDMENTS TO THE CLAIMS**

The following listing of claims will replace all prior versions and listings of claims in the application.

### **LISTING OF CLAIMS**

1. (Original) A method for identifying whether a channel is a LOS channel or a NLOS channel in a mobile communication system, comprising:
  - A. reading in a power delay profile;
  - B. selecting a path with maximum power amplitude, i.e. a Maximum Path, from the power delay profile;
  - C. estimating an average noise power and arrival time of a First Path and the Maximum Path;
  - D. detecting a Local Maximum Path value within a searching window, and detecting whether a power ratio of the Maximum Path to the Local Maximum Path is greater than a threshold  $K$ ;
  - E. detecting whether the arrival time difference between the First Path and the Maximum Path is less than a time interval  $T$ ;
  - F. if the power ratio of the Maximum Path to the Local Maximum Path is greater than the threshold  $K$ , and simultaneously the arrival time difference between the First Path and the Maximum Path is less than the time interval  $T$ , determining the channel being a LOS channel; otherwise determining the channel being a NLOS channel.
2. (Original) The method according to Claim 1, after the step F, further comprises a step of detecting whether the NLOS channel determined by Step F is a

LOS channel or a NLOS channel, by  $\bar{\tau}/\sigma$  difference of the power delay profile between the LOS channel and the NLOS channel, which comprises:

G. computing  $\tau_i$  that is an arrival time difference between a  $i^{\text{th}}$  detectable path and first detectable path;

H. according to following formulas, computing mean delay  $\bar{\tau}$  and root-mean-square delay spread  $\sigma$  of each detectable path,

$$\bar{\tau} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \tau_i * p_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n p_i}$$

$$\bar{\tau}^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (\tau_i)^2 * p_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n p_i}$$

$$\sigma = \sqrt{\bar{\tau}^2 - (\bar{\tau})^2} ;$$

I. computing  $\bar{\tau}/\sigma$  that is a ratio of mean delay to root-mean-square delay spread of power delay profile; if  $\bar{\tau}/\sigma$  is less than Delta( $\Delta$ ), determining the channel being a LOS channel, and if  $\bar{\tau}/\sigma$  is not less than Delta ( $\Delta$ ), determining the channel being a NLOS channel;

wherein  $\bar{\tau}$  is the mean delay of a power delay profile and  $\sigma$  is a root-mean-square delay spread of the power delay profile; wherein  $n$  is number of detectable paths,  $p_i$  is the  $i^{\text{th}}$  path power, and  $i$  is 1 to  $n$ .

3. (Original) The method according to Claim 2, wherein Step I comprises taking the Delta between 0.5 to 1.

4. (Currently Amended) The method according to Claim[[s]] 1–or–2, wherein the Step D further comprises, if there is no detectable path in the searching window of the Local Maximum Path, taking Theta ( $\theta$ ) multiples of the average noise power as the Local Maximum Path power.

5. (Original) The method according to Claim 4, the Theta ( $\theta$ ) is taken 2.

6. (Currently Amended) The method according to Claim[[s]] 1–or–2, wherein Step D comprises, selecting the said Local Maximum Path from a range within Alpha ( $\alpha$ ) microsecond that delays the Maximum Path; wherein the Alpha ( $\alpha$ ) width is greater than one chip.

7. (Currently Amended) The method according to Claim[[s]] 1–or–2, wherein Step D comprises, setting the threshold  $K$  in indoor environment less than the threshold in outdoor environment, and setting the threshold in outdoor environment being 10.

8. (Currently Amended) The method according to Claim[[s]] 1–or–2, wherein Step D further comprises, dividing the threshold  $K$  into  $K1$  and  $K2$ , wherein  $K1 > K2$ ;

wherein Step F further comprises, if the power ratio of the Maximum Path to the Local Maximum Path is greater than  $K1$ , determining the channel as a LOS channel; if the ratio is less than  $K2$ , determining that the channel is a NLOS channel; and if said ratio is between  $K1$  and  $K2$ , determining that the channel is an undetermined channel.

9. (Original) The method according to Claim 8, the threshold  $K1$  is taken 10 and  $K2$  is taken 5.

10. (Currently Amended) The method according to Claim 1-~~or~~2, wherein Step E comprises, taking the time interval  $T$  being within three chips, and typically two chips.

11. (New) The method according to Claim 2, wherein the Step D further comprises, if there is no detectable path in the searching window of the Local Maximum Path, taking Theta ( $\theta$ ) multiples of the average noise power as the Local Maximum Path power.

12. (New) The method according to Claim 2, wherein Step D comprises, selecting the said Local Maximum Path from a range within Alpha ( $\alpha$ ) microsecond that delays the Maximum Path; wherein the Alpha ( $\alpha$ ) width is greater than one chip.

13. (New) The method according to Claim 2, wherein Step D comprises, setting the threshold  $K$  in indoor environment less than the threshold in outdoor environment, and setting the threshold in outdoor environment being 10.

14. (New) The method according to Claim 2, wherein Step D further comprises, dividing the threshold  $K$  into  $K1$  and  $K2$ , wherein  $K1 > K2$ ;

wherein Step F further comprises, if the power ratio of the Maximum Path to the Local Maximum Path is greater than  $K1$ , determining the channel as a LOS

channel; if the ratio is less than  $K2$ , determining that the channel is a NLOS channel; and if said ratio is between  $K1$  and  $K2$ , determining that the channel is an undetermined channel.

15. (New) The method according to Claim 2, wherein Step E comprises, taking the time interval  $T$  being within three chips, and typically two chips.